Table of amendments to the published Corporate Fraud Team End of Year report for 2016/17

Location	Existing information			Amended infor	mation			
2.1.3	The total value of savings achieved exceeds £376,000 which shows excellent progress by the Team in its second year of operation.			The total value shows excellent operation.				
2.1.5	An interesting development during 2016/17 has been the number of employee cases referred to the Team for investigation. Savings of just over £115,500 were achieved from 26 employee cases in respect of 50 employees which is a good indication that the work of the Team is valued across the Council. Appendix 2 provides headline figures for employee cases for 2016/17.			An interesting de of employee case of just over £108 respect of 50 em of the Team is va headline figures f	s referred to 000 were ac ployees whic alued across	the Team chieved fro ch is a goo the Coun	for investigation 26 emploid indication of the formation	ation. Savings oyee cases ir that the work
A								
Appendix 1	Savings achieved:			Savings achieved:				
Appendix 1	Savings achieved:	ting cases			lorking cases			
Appendix 1		ting cases Created via DWP				via DWP		
Appendix 1	Joint Work	-	CFT only cases	Joint V	Created	via DWP DWP	CFT on Actual OP	Ily cases
Appendix 1	Joint Work Created via CFT Excess CTR Other	Created via DWP		Joint V Created via CFT Excess CTR Other Reduction LA OP £12,192.88 £1,791.7	Created HB & CTB 5 £80,355.67	DWP £68,609.80	Actual OP Savings	Theoretical Savings
Appendix 1	Joint Work Created via CFT Excess CTR Other Reduction LA OP's £12,192.88 £1,791.75 £13,984.63	Created via DWP HB & CTB DWP £80,355.67 £68,609.80 £148,965.47	Actual OP Savings Theoretical Savings £21,649.53 £191,454.90	Joint V Created via CFT Excess CTR Other Reduction LA OP £12,192.88 £1,791.7 £13,984.63	Created HB & CTB 5 £80,355.67 £148,	DWP £68,609.80	Actual OP Savings £14,158.33	Theoretical Savings £191,454.90
Appendix 1	Joint Work Created via CFT Excess CTR Reduction Other LA OP's £12,192.88 £1,791.75	Created via DWP HB & CTB DWP £80,355.67 £68,609.80 £148,965.47	Actual OP Theoretical Savings Savings	Joint V Created via CFT Excess CTR Other Reduction LA OP £12,192.88 £1,791.7 £13,984.63	Created HB & CTB 5 £80,355.67	DWP £68,609.80	Actual OP Savings £14,158.33	Theoretical Savings
Appendix 1	Joint Work Created via CFT Excess CTR Other Reduction LA OP's £12,192.88 £1,791.75 £13,984.63	Created via DWP HB & CTB DWP £80,355.67 £68,609.80 £148,965.47	Actual OP Savings Theoretical Savings £21,649.53 £191,454.90	Joint V Created via CFT Excess CTR Other Reduction LA OP £12,192.88 £1,791.7 £13,984.63	Created HB & CTB 5 £80,355.67 £148, 62,950.10	DWP £68,609.80	Actual OP Savings £14,158.33	Theoretical Savings £191,454.90

Location

Existing information

Appendix 3

Outcome			
Туре	No		
Agency staff dismissed	2		
Misdemeanour letters / warnings issued	19		
Resigned before disciplinary hearing	3		
Action discontinued	17		
Ongoing investigation	9		
Total	50		

Savings	Total £
For cases brought forward from 2015/16	£89,298.52
For new cases in 2016/17	26,269.31
Total	£115,567.83

Ameno	led inf	ormation

Outcome			
Туре	No		
Agency staff dismissed	2		
Misdemeanour letters / warnings issued	18		
Resigned before disciplinary hearing	3		
Action discontinued	18		
Ongoing investigation	9		
Total	50		

Savings	Total £
For cases brought forward from 2015/16	£81,807.52
For new cases in 2016/17	26,269.31
Total	£108,076.83

This case was reported 'in camera' to the Audit committee in Thi	Personnel - Community Waste Site This case was reported 'in camera' to the Audit committee in
	This case was reported 'in camera' to the Audit committee in
	December 2016
waste site were complicit in allowing items such as clothing site which should have been recycled to leave the site with a sho	Allegations were received that employees at a community waste site were complicit in allowing items such as clothing which should have been recycled to leave the site with a private individual for personal gain.
	f proven, this would result is lost revenue and have a detrimental impact on the Council's recycling targets.
 were complicit in varying degrees (3 employed directly by the Council and 2 employed via an agency). Wrongdoing included: Bags of clothing removed by a private individual. Other recyclable items being removed by private individuals (e.g. laptops, flat screen TV's). Allowing what appeared to be prohibited waste and / or trade waste to be deposited on site. Smoking on site. Leaving the site with less than the minimum number of required employees. Falsifying time keeping records. The above practices / losses were stopped due to: Agency staff – employment of 2 staff immediately terminated. Council staff. 	 An investigation was undertaken and identified issues included: Bags of clothing removed by a private individual. Other recyclable items being removed by private individuals (e.g. laptops, flat screen TV's). Allowing what appeared to be prohibited waste and / or trade waste to be deposited on site. Smoking on site. Leaving the site with less than the minimum number of required employees. Falsifying time keeping records. The above practices / losses were stopped following the investigation. The employment of 2 agency staff were immediately terminated, and 1 member of staff was suspended pending a disciplinary hearing into mutiple charges of gross misconduct. That member of staff resigned prior to a hearing. Savings attributable to this case amount to £84,688.00.

Location	Existing information	Amended information
Appendix 4	Personnel - Breakfast Clubs	Personnel – Unauthorised Absences
	An allegation was received that suggested that on Friday mornings a number of blue collar Council employees wearing liveried uniforms were signing on for work, leaving their work base in Council liveried vehicles, but instead of going to their job site, they would travel elsewhere, park up, and then partake of a 'sit-in' breakfast in a public establishment. If proven, it would be in contravention of management guidance.	An allegation was received that suggested that on Friday mornings a number of blue collar Council employees wearing liveried uniforms were signing on for work, leaving their work base in Council liveried vehicles, but instead of going to their job site, they would travel elsewhere, park up, and then partake of a 'sit-in' breakfast in a public establishment. If proven, it would be in contravention of management guidance.
	After an initial evaluation visit, surveillance was undertaken on 4 consecutive Friday's to establish the nature and extent of the Breakfast club. During the investigation another Breakfast Club was discovered also involving blue collar workers at a different public establishment.	After an initial evaluation visit, surveillance was undertaken on 4 consecutive Friday's to establish the nature and extent of the Breakfast club. During the investigation another Breakfast Club was discovered also involving blue collar workers at a different public establishment.
	Again, after an initial evaluation visit, surveillance was undertaken on consecutive Friday's to establish the nature and extent of the second Breakfast club.	Again, after an initial evaluation visit, surveillance was undertaken on consecutive Friday's to establish the nature and extent of the second Breakfast club.
	It was established that the Breakfast Clubs were repeated behaviour by the majority of the 17 subjects lasting around just over 30 minutes per subject on each occasion.	It was established that the Breakfast Clubs were repeated behaviour by the majority of the 17 subjects lasting around just over 30 minutes per subject on each occasion.
	Details were passed to the Client Department and Human Resources to consider appropriate Disciplinary action. As a consequence:	Details were passed to the Client Department and Human Resources to consider appropriate Disciplinary action. At the Investigatory interviews the employees all advised that on
	• 'Informal' misdemeanour letters were issued to 17 employees in respect of unauthorised absence from work, and in some cases falsification of a time sheet.	a Friday they have a Breakfast and then work through their lunch. As a consequence:

 The employees were also reminded that: Stopping for a sit down breakfast was not acceptable and in future they must to adhere to the departmental procedure which permits the taking of one 10 minute morning break at their job site. If there is any repetition of this incident, the matter will be dealt with under the formal section of the Disciplinary Policy. In January 2017, the Head of Human Resources issued a directive to all Heads of Service regarding unpaid breaks. This was followed up in February 2017, by a an article contained in the Top Brief Newsletter: "Breaks during your working day EXCEPT in the normal course of your job duties and during your lunch break, you should not leave your place of work without prior authorisation from your line manager. In addition employees are also reminded that breaks taken during the working day should be accounted for in the hours worked and are unpaid. Such breaks include lunch, breakfast, smoking breaks etc. Managers will of course be flexible as the needs of the service dictate and there may be local
 arrangements in place. If in doubt employees should speak to their manager as failure to comply may result in action being taken in line with the appropriate policies." Quantifiable savings attributable to both Breakfast Clubs amount to £8,983.38. Immeasurable Savings include: Deterrent value on other (potential) Breakfast Clubs. Increased productivity due to improved morale in
those who have not / do not participate in Breakfast • Deterrent value on other (potential) Breakfast Clubs.
Clubs, but have been aware that others do. Increased productivity due to improved morale in those
Minimising the potential for reputational damage within the who have not / do not participate in Breakfast Clubs, but

community.	have been aware that others do.
	• Minimising the potential for reputational damage within the community.